

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,683	12/21/2001	Edwin Charles Weldon	AM-6180	2033
	7590 09/14/2004		EXAMINER	
	IATERIALS, INC. BLVD. M/S 2061		MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN	
SANTA CLARA, CA 95050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$ \circ$ $\circ$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7,0			
Advisory Action	10/027,683	WELDON ET AL.	•			
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rodney G. McDonald	1753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper rep	ly to a			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The distribution of the period of extensions of the period of extensions of the period of extensions.	han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 Insign and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. So 136(a) and the appropriate	extension fee			
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final rejo	the final Office action; or ( ection, even if timely filed, r	2) as set forth in nay reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	r's Brief must be filed within the pFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
<ul><li>(c)</li></ul>	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: of	or reconsideration has been cons the reasons set forth in the note ab	idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered or by ould be rejected is provided below	)☐ will be entered a ow or appended.	nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 22,23,26-34 and 37-43.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-21</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Robert Mulo				
		Rodney G. McDonald Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1753	1			

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/027,683

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issuess that would require further consideration and/or search are the "sacrifical coating being easil removable without harming the ceramic surface which has been applied over the ceramic surface containing the patterned mechanical interlocks.".